

Hebe Haven Yacht Club

THIS SIDE FOR PROTEST COMMITTEE USE	Class <u>IRC C/D</u> Race# <u>1</u> Filing# _
Fill in and shock as appropriate	Heard together with numbers
Fill in and check as appropriate	
☐ Withdrawal requested; signature	
Protest time limit Protest, or reques	st for redress or reopening, received within time limit
☐ Time limit extended	
Protestor, or party requesting redress or reopening, rep	presented by:
Yachts Moll, Goddess,	Lady Butterfly - Represented by David Rule
(Collectively herei	nafter known as the 'The Affected Boats'.)
Other party, or boat being considered for redress, repre	esented by Race Committee not represented
Remarks	
No objection about interested party	
Written protest or request identifies incident	
'Protest' hailed at first reasonable opportunity	
No hail needed; protestee informed at first reasonable	opportunity
Red flag conspicuously displayed at first reasonable or	pportunity
☑ Protest or request valid; hearing will continue [☐ Protest or request invalid; hearing is closed
Rules	
RRS 90.3, Sailing Instruction (SI) 3.1, SI6.2, Notice of F	Race (NOR) 8.1, Notice To Competitors (NTC) #L-1 & #L-

RRS 90.3, Sailing Instruction (SI) 3.1, SI6.2, Notice of Race (NOR) 8.1, Notice To Competitors (NTC) #L-1 & #L-2

Facts Found

- 1. SI6.2 provides states, "Depending on the list of entries, the Race Committee reserves the right to alter the stated divisional splits. In such an event the new divisional splits will be posted on the HYYC website and notice board not later than 12.00 noon on Friday, 5th June 2015. "
- 2. SI 3.1 describes Races 1 to 7 as follows:

Race number	Date	Race Type
1	Sunday 7 June 2015	Islands
2 and 3	Sunday 21 June 2015	Geometric
4	Sunday 5 July 2015	Islands
5 and 6	Sunday 19 July 2015	Geometric (outside of Port Shelter)
7	Saturday 1 August 2015	Passage Race to Mirs Bay

3. Prior the time limit of SI6.2 and as provided for therein , NTC #L-1 (4 June 2015) announced Division Splits, based on the current and expected entries as follows:



Hebe Haven Yacht Club

Class / Division	Proposed Split Range	Flag	Proposed Starting Sequence
IRC Division A	1.141 and above	U	1
IRC Division B	1.080 - 1.140 inclusive	G	1
IRC Division C	1.000 - 1.079 inclusive	Т	2
IRC Division D	0.999 and below	K	3
Sportsboats		V	2
J80		J	3
HKPN Division A	1030 and below	D	3
HKPN Division B	1031 and above	0	4

- a. For Race 1, 3 boats qualifying for Division D came to the starting area and competed in that Division. Those boats were:
 - a. Moll
 - b. Goddess
 - c. Lady Butterfly
- 5. Results for Race 1 were as follows:

		HHYC Quest	Yachting Ty	phoon S	eries - 7 J	une 2015		
		Prov	isional Resu	Its - IRC	Division C			
		Race 1 - IRC D	iv C Start time:	11:05:00	Course No.13	TWA: 270deg	Wind: 8kt	
Rank	Sail No	Boat	IRC rating	Finish	Elapsed	Corrected	Points	Remarks
1	1832	Dexter II	1.033	13:17:29	2:12:29	2:16:51	1	
2	2221	Gearbox	1.045	13:18:07	2:13:07	2:19:06	2	
3	1378	Vixen	1.046	13:24:12	2:19:12	2:25:36	3	
4	2207	Ymir	1.041	13:25:53	2:20:53	2:26:40	4	
5	2278	AmaZe	1.016	13:32:11	2:27:11	2:29:32	5	
6	2293	Ding Dong	1.047	13:28:32	2:23:32	2:30:17	6	
		HHYC Quest	Yachting Ty	phoon S	eries - 7 J	une 2015		
			isional Resu					
		Race 1 - IRC D	iv D Start time:	11:10:00	Course No.13	TWA: 270deg	Wind: 7kt	
Rank	Sail No	Boat	IRC rating	Finish	Elapsed	Corrected	Points	Remarks
1	2186	Goddess	0.990	13:29:20	2:19:20	2:17:56	1	
2	1045	Moll	0.889	13:47:29	2:37:29	2:20:00	2	
3	2234	Lady Butterfly	0.999	13:31:21	2:21:21	2:21:13	3	

6. Prior to Race 2, NTC #L-2 (18 June 2015) was issued further adjusting the division splits. This was based on *The Race Committee*'s new expectation that the number of entries for Division D was subsequently insufficient for further quality competition.

Class / Division	Proposed Split Range	Flag	Proposed Starting Sequence
IRC Division A	1.141 and above	U	1
IRC Division B	1.080 - 1.140 inclusive	G	1
IRC Division C	1.079 and below	Т	2
Sportsboats		V	2
J80		J	3
HKPN Division A	1030 and below	D	3
HKPN Division B	1031 and above	0	4

- b. The net result was the disbandment of Division D and the inclusion of those boats into Division C.
- 7. NTC #2 also included further clarifications thus:

For the avoidance of doubt, boats which were initially entered in IRC Division D will be scored as DNS for Race 1 of the Typhoon Series.

8. A request for redress was immediately submitted by *The Race Committee* on the grounds that the score of DNS for Race 1 effectively significantly worsened their Division C series scores, through no fault of their own.



CONCLUSIONS

Prerequisite requirements for Redress

- SI6.2 implied that division splits would be finalised by 12:00 noon, Friday 5th June. NTC #L-2 refers to this SI, but is ambiguous as to whether the time provision / limit is changed or not. Since it would be curious for an SI to provide for making changes to the competition <u>after</u> it has started (without procedure), the Protest Committee considers that changing division splits after time limit in SI6.2 was an improper action. Furthermore;
- 2. RRS 90.3 states in part that, "A race <u>shall be scored</u> if it is not abandoned and if one boat sails the course in compliance with rule 28 and finishes within the time limit..." The Racing Rules of Sailing do not permit a Race Committee to nullify or cancel individual or fleet scores after the competition has started. **Disbanding Division D after the event had started was an improper action**.
- 3. The combination of assigning boats to a class, then disbanding that class after the start, then reassigning the boats to another class AND awarding DNS to those competitors for Race 1 in the new class had the net effect of denying *The Affected Boats* the opportunity to compete in Race 1, thus **significantly worsening** the subsequent Division C series **scores** of those boats, **through no fault of their own**.
- 4. The requirements for redress are thus met.

Quantum of Redress

Decision

- 1. It is not fair to *The Affected Boats* for the Protest Committee to simply order the reinstatement of Division D, in the knowledge that there would likely not be any quality competition for those boats and that division.
- 2. The Protest Committee considers that the style of courses may suit different boats differently. Consideration is given to the fact that Race 1 was an 'Islands' style course.
- 3. The Protest Committee considers that using an average of only 2 races may not be a fair representation of a boat's current average level of performance.
- 4. The Protest Committee prefers a solution which establishes the Race 1 scores before the end of the competition.

Re	dedress: ☐ not given ☐ ☐ given as follows:	
1.	The Protest Committee decides Moll, Goddess,	Lady Butterfly shall compete in Division
	C and be scored for Race 1 as follows:	
	a. Her average score to the nearest	tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded
	upward) of all races from Race 2 to	Race 7 (inclusive and before discards)
	in which she competed and finishe	e <mark>d.</mark>
2.	The scores of other boats shall not be changed.	This may result in ties for Race 1.
	ROTEST COMMITTEE embers Ben Harding (Chairman), C K, Jonathan Slattery	
	nairman's signature <u>Electronic Document – No Signature</u> te: This was not a unanimous decision, with Protest Commit	
	ritten decision requested nen By whom	Date provided